

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/083,625 02/26/2002 W. Jerry Easterling VULVODYNIA 1785 02/23/2005 **EXAMINER** 7590 DAVID G. HENRY KWON, BRIAN YONG S 900 Washington Avenue ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. Box 1470 Waco, TX 76701 1614

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/083,625	EASTERLING, W. JERRY
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian S. Kwon	1614
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 C	October 2004.	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 4. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 4. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 4. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 4. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document 4. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the cer	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive	on No
application from the International Burean * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	d.
·		
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892).	4) 🔲 Interview Summary ((PTO.413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/083,625 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

1. Acknowledgement is made of applicants' filing of the instant application as a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.1114. Claims 4-7 are currently pending for prosecution on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 2. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mak et al. (US 2002/0198136 A1), and further in view of Schor et al. (US 4369172).

Mak teaches or suggests the use of calcium channel blockers (e.g., diltiazem, nifedipine, nimodipine, felodipine, nicardipine, etc...) alone or in combination with variety compounds including NO donors, cholinergic modulators, phosphodiesterase inhibitors, superoxide

Application/Control Number: 10/083,625

Art Unit: 1614

scavengers, potassium channel activators, estrogen-like compounds, testosterone-like compounds, benzodiazepines, adrenergic nerve inhibitors, smooth muscle relaxants, adenosine receptor modulators, adenylyl cyclase activators, endothelin receptor antagonists, bisphosphonates and cGMP-dependent protein kinase activators for the treatment of urogenital disorders including vulvodynia (abstract; page 1, para. 10; page 3, para. 15; page 4, para. 16, column column 7, para. 54; Examples 4-5, 15-16 and 19), wherein said calcium channel blockers can be administered in vaginal suppository from (page 3, para. 15 and page 3 para. 16).

Schlor is supplied as a reference to demonstrate the routine knowledge in preparing vaginal and rectal suppositories in various release time (i.e.., from 3 to 36 hours). See column 7, line 15 and column 8, lines 42-44. The reference lists calcium channel blocker such as dilitiazem as the suitable active ingredient of the claimed invention where said active ingredient can be prepared in various dosage form including vaginal suppository form (see column 6, line 42-43).

The teaching of Mak differs from the claimed invention in "administering said dosage from at least once daily until symptoms are reversed" and the specific dosage amount administered in "approximately 50mg".

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such modification to increase the efficacy and extend the usage of said calcium channel blocker containing composition by making the formulation in vaginal suppository to accommodate patient's preference and needs where the compliance could be improved with effective dosage regimen. Those of ordinary skill in the art will readily optimize effective dosages and concurrent administration regimens as determined by good medical practice and the clinical condition of the individual patient. Regardless of the manner of administration, the specific dose may be

Art Unit: 1614

calculated according to body weight, body surface area or organ size. Further refinement of the calculations necessary to determine the appropriate dosage for treatment involving each of the above mentioned formulations is routinely made by those of ordinary skill in the art and is within the ability of tasks routinely performed by them without undue experimentation.

Page 4

Applicant has presented no evidence to establish the unexpected or unobvious nature of the claimed invention, and as such, claims 4-7 are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Conclusion

- 3. No Claim is allowed.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Kwon whose telephone number is (571) 272-0581. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday through Friday from 9:00 am to 7:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low, can be reached on (571) 272-0951. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Brian Kwon Patent Examiner

AU 1614